

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,034	05/02/2007	Robert Bucki	46406-0109-01US [222641]	9154
29973 7590 050602010 DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH ATTN: INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			EXAMINER	
			DEVI, SARVAMANGALA J N	
	ONE LOGAN SQUARE, SUITE 2000 PHILADELPHIA. PA 19103-6996		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/06/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DBRIPDocket@dbr.com penelope.mongelluzzo@dbr.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/574,034	BUCKI ET AL.				
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	S. Devi, Ph.D.	1645				
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_				
(1) <u>S. Devi (USPTO)</u> .	(3)					
(2) Attorney Rocque El-Hayek.	(4)					
Date of Interview: 3 May 2010	Time:					
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Applicants' amendment filed 02/24/2010.						
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed:						
Rejection(s) discussed.						
Claims discussed:						
Prior art documents discussed:						
Part II.						
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet						
Part III.						
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 						
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)				

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Attorney El-Hayek was informed of the non-compliance under 37 CFR 1.121 of Applicants' amendment filed 02242010 with regard to the status identifier used for the non-elected claims. Attorney El-Hayek mentioned of MPEP 821 and 37 CFR 1.142(b) and argued that the non-elected claims are to be withdrawn by the examiner. Attorney El-Hayek's attention was brought to question A2 in the 'Questions and Answers on Revised Amendment Practice' posted on the Office's whose the other to that question. Applicants would file a supplemental amendment to correct the status identifiers for the non-elected claims.

/S. Devi/ Primary Examiner AU 1645

05-03-2010